

**Exhibit N**

**Draft Second Settlement Agreement**

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH

SHELLEY S. HAWKINS,

Plaintiff

v.

A&E FACTORY SERVICE,  
L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability  
company; SEARS HOLDINGS  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
a foreign profit corporation;  
FATEMAH S. ALSUWAIDAN and  
JOHN DOE ALSUWAIDAN,  
husband and wife and their marital  
community; EDWIN G. MIGUEL  
and JANE DOE MIGUEL, husband  
and wife and their marital  
community; and JENNI M.  
WAKIDA and JOHN DOE  
WAKIDA, husband and wife and  
their marital community,

Defendants

No 18-2-08480-31

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
WITH ASSIGNMENT OF RIGHTS  
AND COVENANTS

**I. PARTIES**

The parties to this agreement are Plaintiff Shelley Hawkins and Defendant Edwin G. Miguel ("Defendant Miguel"). The parties do hereby enter into the following Settlement Agreement with Assignments of Rights and Covenants (herein referred to as "Settlement Agreement").

## II. RECITATIONS

### 1. Claim Description.

This lawsuit herein arose from a car crash occurring on November 16, 2016 (herein referred to sometimes as “the collision”). On November 16, 2016, Defendant Edwin G. Miguel caused the vehicle he was driving to strike another vehicle. This collision resulted in substantial injuries and significant damages to Plaintiff Shelley Hawkins.

### 2. The Insurer’s Violations of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Owed to Mr. Miguel, Its Unreasonable Denial of the Payment of Benefits, and Other Violations.

#### a. Law

The Washington Insurance Fair Conduct Act (IFCA) provides as follows:

An insurer engaged in the business of insurance may not unreasonably deny a claim for coverage or payment of benefits to any first party claimant. "First party claimant" has the same meaning as in RCW 48.30.015.

RCW 48.30.010.

Courts generally hold that an insurer may violate IFCA by failing to pay benefits or by making an unreasonably low settlement offer. *Morella v. Safeco Insurance Co.*, No. C12-0672RSL, 2013 WL 1562032, at \*3 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 12, 2013); *Reverse Now VII, LLC v. Oregon Mutual Ins. Co.*, No. C16-209-MJP, 2018 WL 646880, at \*4 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 30, 2018). Reasonableness of an insurer’s conduct is judged against the backdrop of what it knew or should have known at the time. *Reverse Now*, 2018 WL 646880, at \*4; *Heide v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 261 F. Supp. 3d 1104, 1108 (W.D. Wash. 2017). “Offering or paying a settlement that is not based on a reasoned evaluation of what the insurer knew or should have known at the time about the insured’s claim is an unreasonable denial of coverage under the IFCA.” *Wichser v. Safeco Ins. Co.*, No. C15-738 RAJ, 2016 WL 6878906, at \*4 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 22, 2016).

Insurers in Washington also owe insureds a duty of good faith and fair dealing, and an insurer’s breach of this duty is a tort. *Defendant Ins. Co. of Am. v. Butler*, 823 P.2d 499, 503

(Wash. 1992); *St. Paul Fire and Marine Ins. Co. v. Onvia, Inc.*, 196 P.3d 664, 668 (Wash. 2008). An insurer's duty of good faith applies to claims involving either first-party insurance or third-party insurance. *See, e.g., St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Onvia, Inc.*, 165 Wn.2d 122, 130, 196 P.3d 664, 668 (2008). A denial of coverage is done in bad faith if it is unreasonable, frivolous, or unfounded. *Overton v. Consolidated Ins. Co.*, 38 P.3d 322, 329-30 (Wash. 2002). The duty of good faith also requires an insurance company to give equal consideration to its own interests and the interests of its insured. *Ellwein v. Hartford Accident & Indem. Co.*, 15 P.3d 640, 646 (Wash. 2001), *overruled in part on other grounds*, *Smith v. Defendant Ins. Co.*, 78 P.3d 1274, 1278 (Wash. 2003). An insurer has an affirmative duty to make a good faith attempt to settle within the insured's limits of liability. *Hamilton v. State Farm*, 83 Wn.2d 787, 523 P.2d 193 (1974). An insurer is liable for damages resulting from a failure to settle within policy limits if that failure is attributable to either bad faith or negligence. *Tyler v. Grange Ins. Ass'n*, 3 Wn. App. 167, 473 P.2d 193 (1970). Negligence in investigation which leads to a mistake in failing to settle constitutes a breach of the insurer's duty to its insured. *Id.*

"The flat refusal to negotiate, under circumstances of substantial exposure to liability, a demonstrated receptive climate for settlement, and limited insurance coverage may show lack of good faith as well." *Hamilton v. State Farm*, 83 Wn.2d 787, 794, 523 P.2d 193 (1974), *citing Tyler v. Grange Ins. Ass'n*, 3 Wash.App. 167, 179, 473 P.2d 193 (1970).

Single violations of WAC 284-30-330 are a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing as a matter of law. *Truck Ins. Exch. v. Vanport Homes, Inc.*, 147 Wn.2d 751, 58 P.3d 276 (2002); *Leingang v. Pierce Co. Med. Bureau*, 131 Wn.2d 133, 151, 930 P.2d 288 (1997); WPI 320.06. WAC 284-30-330 includes the following "unfair insurance claims practices":

**WAC 284-30-330 Specific unfair claims settlement practices defined.**

The following are hereby defined as unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance, specifically applicable to the settlement

1 of claims: . . .

2 . . .

3 (2) Failing to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon  
4 communications with respect to claims arising under insurance  
policies.

5 (3) Failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the  
6 prompt investigation of claims arising under insurance policies.

7 (4) Refusing to pay claims without conducting a reasonable  
8 investigation.

9 . . .

10 (6) Not attempting in good faith to effectuate prompt, fair and  
equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become  
reasonably clear. . .

11 (7) Compelling insureds to institute or submit to litigation,  
12 arbitration, or appraisal to recover amounts due under an  
insurance policy by offering substantially less than the amounts  
ultimately recovered in such actions or proceedings.

13 (8) Attempting to settle a claim for less than the amount to  
14 which a reasonable [person] would have believed he [or she]  
was entitled by reference to written or printed advertising  
15 material accompanying or made part of an application.

16 . . .

17 WAC 284-30-330.

18 “We have long recognized if an insurer acts in bad faith by refusing to effect a  
19 settlement for a small sum, an insured can recover from the insurer the amount of a judgment  
20 rendered against the insured, even if the judgment exceeds contractual policy limits.” *Besel v.*  
21 *Viking Ins. Co. of Wisconsin*, 146 Wash.2d 730, 49 P. 3d 887 (2002), *citing Evans v. Cont'l*  
22 *Cas. Co.*, 40 Wash.2d 614, 245 P.2d 470 (1952).

23 **b. Facts**

24 Defendant Miguel is an insured covered for liability under a policy or policies issued  
25 by Ace American Insurance Company, Ace Limited, Chubb, and/or other insurer(s) (herein  
26 collectively referred to sometimes as “the insurer”). This Settlement Agreement applies to any  
27 and all liability insurers who did or might provide coverage to Defendant Miguel arising from

1 the collision.

2 Plaintiff, through her attorney, went to great lengths to give notice to Defendant  
3 Miguel's insurer of her negligence claim for damages and to provide an opportunity to settle  
4 the claim fully by agreement and without litigation against Defendant Miguel. For example,  
5 before initiating this lawsuit, Plaintiff sent several letters and e-mails to the insurer through its  
6 hired claims representatives, and also left multiple voice messages for the claims  
7 representative about resolving the claim. Plaintiff's correspondence included detailed letters  
8 to the insurer requesting that the insurer resolve the case by agreement and without a lawsuit.  
9 These settlement-attempt letters were supported by the usual documents that are customarily  
10 accepted in the business of liability insurance claims as adequate to evaluate and settle injury  
11 claims. These documents included medical records, medical bills, medical reports, federal  
12 income tax records, and other bills or receipts. Despite Plaintiff's efforts to reach an agreed  
13 settlement with Defendant's insurer without litigation, the insurer ignored Plaintiff, did not  
14 respond to any of her efforts to settle the claim by September 19, 2018, as requested, did not  
15 attempt to settle the claim by that date, and did not attempt to prevent litigation against  
16 Defendant Miguel.

17 The insurer knew or reasonably should have known that its failure to respond to  
18 Plaintiff and her attempts to settle the claim would result in litigation against Defendant  
19 Miguel. In fact, as an actual and proximate cause of its failure to respond and attempt  
20 settlement, the insurer forced Plaintiff to commence litigation against Defendant Miguel on or  
21 about September 19, 2018.

22 The insurer had actual knowledge that Plaintiff commenced litigation against  
23 Defendant Miguel. The insurer also knew or reasonably should have known that litigation  
24 against Defendant Miguel would cause him stress, frustration, fear, mental suffering,  
25 embarrassment, effect on credit, a Judgment entered against him personally, and/or other  
26 harms. Despite this knowledge of actual harm it would cause Defendant Miguel, the insurer  
27

1 chose not to defend Defendant Miguel in the litigation. The insurer rejected and refused a  
2 tender of defense of the lawsuit.

3 An insurer owes a duty to defend the insured that is separate from other duties owed.  
4 An insurer must defend if a claim is conceivably covered under the policy. *Woo v. Fireman's*  
5 *Fund Ins. Co.*, 161 Wn.2d 43, 164 P.3d 454 (2007). An insurer's duty of good faith is separate  
6 from an insurer's duties under the insurance policy, and an insured may maintain a bad faith  
7 cause of action even if its insurer owes no duty to indemnify. *See, e.g., Coventry Associates v.*  
8 *American States Ins. Co.*, 136 Wn.2d 269, 279, 961 P.2d 933, 936-937 (1998) (involving  
9 first-party insurance); *St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Onvia, Inc.*, 165 Wn.2d 122, 132,  
10 196 P.3d 664, 669 (2008) (involving third-party insurance). In this case, the insurer has failed  
11 in its duties to indemnify and defend Defendant Miguel.

12 **III. ADDITIONAL RECITATIONS AND STIPULATED FINDINGS OF FACT**

13 Defendant Miguel further stipulates and agrees to the following facts:

14 1. That service of the Summons and Complaint for Negligence herein upon  
15 Defendants Edwin G. Miguel and Jane Doe Miguel, husband and wife and their marital  
16 community, was properly made by personal service on October 10, 2018.

17 2. That Defendant Miguel has substantially or fully complied with the terms of  
18 the insurer's applicable insurance policy, and any noncompliance with any term (and  
19 Defendant Miguel is unaware of any noncompliance) has not caused substantial prejudice to  
20 the insurer.

21 3. That no attorney has made a notice of appearance in this action on behalf of  
22 Defendant Miguel or served or filed a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint.

23 4. That the insurer has not hired an attorney on behalf of Defendant Miguel to  
24 defend him in this lawsuit nor has the insurer made any effort to defend or protect Defendant  
25 Miguel in this lawsuit.

26 5. That Defendant Miguel is not a Debtor in Bankruptcy and has never been a  
27

1 Debtor in Bankruptcy during the pendency of this lawsuit.

2 6. That each and all of the allegations set forth in the Plaintiff's Complaint for  
3 Negligence herein are true.

4 7. That no non-party to this litigation contributed to causing the collision or to  
5 causing the Plaintiff's damages identified herein.

6 8. That Plaintiff has not failed to make reasonable efforts to mitigate her damages  
7 identified herein.

8 9. That there are no applicable defenses or avoidances to Plaintiff's claims in this  
9 lawsuit, either substantive or procedure, including but not limited to subject matter  
10 jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction, insufficient process or service of process, statute of  
11 limitations, waiver, Plaintiff's comparative fault, or alternative causes of her damages other  
12 than the collision. Further, the right to assert any affirmative defenses to or avoidances of  
13 Plaintiff's claims in this lawsuit are hereby waived.

14 10. That Defendant Miguel's negligence caused the collision and as a direct and  
15 proximate result of Defendant Miguel's negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages,  
16 incurred medical expenses, earnings losses, interest, and past and future non-economic  
17 damages for disability, loss/diminishment of the ability and capacity to enjoy life, pain,  
18 suffering, and other subjective nonmonetary losses in an amount exceeding \$1,500,000.

19 **IV. PURPOSES OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

20 Defendant Miguel recognizes and acknowledges that judgment is being sought against  
21 him in this action in an amount exceeding \$1,500,000, which amount may readily be  
22 determined by the nature, extent and severity of the injuries and damages suffered and accrued  
23 to date by Plaintiff and into the future, as well as the history of Plaintiff's counsel of obtaining  
24 large verdicts of equal or greater amounts in other cases. Defendant Miguel further recognizes  
25 and acknowledges that the insurer has not agreed to defend or indemnify him in this lawsuit,  
26 and that Defendant Miguel has no other insurance coverage that might indemnify him and pay  
27



1 for any part of the judgment Plaintiff seeks against him, whether directly or through joint and  
2 several liability, thus leaving Defendant Miguel's personal assets exposed and at risk to  
3 execution and collection by Plaintiff. Defendant Miguel wishes to protect his personal assets,  
4 credit, credit worthiness, and to avoid filing a bankruptcy due to a Judgment and ongoing  
5 accrual of interest.

6 Defendant Miguel further acknowledges and warrants that to his knowledge, the  
7 insurer has not denied coverage but has fully accepted coverage of Plaintiff's claim herein (but  
8 it has not accepted the duties to pay the claim or defend) and, further, the insurer has not  
9 accepted coverage or defense of Plaintiff's claim under a reservation of rights.

10 The purposes of, and the consideration for, this Settlement Agreement include but are  
11 not limited to the following: (a) to provide for a settlement with prompt payment of the  
12 applicable insurance proceeds and other sums to Plaintiff for legal damages she sustained and  
13 other sums; (b) to protect Defendant Miguel's personal savings, property, assets, credit, credit  
14 worthiness, and reputation; (c) to protect Defendant Miguel from judgment in favor of  
15 Plaintiff such as for ongoing accruing interest; (d) to afford protection to Defendant Miguel  
16 from having to make payments and execution on a Judgment against him; and (e) to minimize  
17 the costs, delays, stress, and uncertainties of continued and future litigation and collection  
18 proceedings to Defendant Miguel, his financial interests, and to Plaintiff.

#### 19 **V. CONSIDERATION FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

20 The consideration for this Settlement Agreement is the mutual promises contained  
21 herein. There is adequate consideration to support this agreement.

#### 22 **VI. TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

23 The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement between the parties are as  
24 follows:

25 A. Assignment or Execution: Defendant Miguel agrees to cooperate with and assign  
26 to Plaintiff all rights, privileges, claims, causes or chose of action that he may have against his  
27

1 insurers or affiliated companies, and their employees and agents, including but not limited to  
2 Ace American Insurance Company, Ace Limited, Chubb, and any other insurer of Plaintiff's  
3 claims against Defendant Miguel. This assignment includes but is not limited to, all of  
4 Defendant Miguel's privileges, protections, claims, causes or chose of actions arising out of  
5 the collision, or handling of the claims or suit related thereto, as well as arising out of the  
6 insurance contract, obligations, investigation, evaluation, negotiation, defense, settlement,  
7 indemnification, along with any claims, cause or chose of actions for breach of the duty of  
8 good faith and fair dealing, negligence, malpractice, breach of contract, fiduciary duty breach,  
9 violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act and Insurance Fair Conduct Act, and  
10 punitive damages, *provided* however, that Defendant Miguel specifically reserves to himself  
11 claims for damages against the insurer for his personal emotional distress, personal attorneys'  
12 fees, personal damages to his credit or reputation, and other non-economic damages which  
13 arise from or relate to the assigned causes of action. To the extent any of the above causes or  
14 choses of action are not assignable, then those assets are available to Plaintiff for execution.

15 B. Determination of Judgment: The parties agree to have the full amount of Plaintiff's  
16 damages caused by the collision, and judgment for those damages, determined by stipulation  
17 and approved as reasonable by the Court. The parties agree that the reasonable value of the  
18 past and future damages sustained by and justly due Plaintiff is \$1,500,000 and hereby  
19 stipulate to entry of judgment against Defendant Miguel in Plaintiff's favor for that principal  
20 amount. Defendant Miguel and his counsel agree to provide the Court with such evidence,  
21 opinion, and support for this determination as may be appropriate at any reasonableness  
22 hearing to be conducted with regard to this stipulated amount, and to execute all documents  
23 necessary for entry of judgment in this amount. Plaintiff may require as a condition to this  
24 settlement that Defendant Miguel agree in any reasonableness hearing to the settlement's  
25 reasonableness in his hearing pleadings and statements to the court.

26 In the event of a reasonableness hearing and the Court does not find the sum of  
27

1 \$1,500,000 to be reasonable and does not find a lesser amount to be reasonable, then the  
2 parties agree to use good faith efforts to reach a stipulated judgment as to the amount of  
3 Plaintiff's damages, and to obtain a reasonableness finding of that amount from the Court. If  
4 the parties are unable to reach an agreement on the reasonable amount of Plaintiff's damages  
5 within ten (10) days, unless extended by agreement, then the parties agree to submit that issue  
6 to arbitration, without further delay or discovery, before Steven Toole, or if he is unable or  
7 unwilling to serve, then before Paris Kallas. Such sum will then be resubmitted for a  
8 reasonableness finding by the Court.

9 C. Interest Rate on Unpaid Amounts of Judgment and Personal Contribution: It is  
10 agreed between the undersigned that interest on the unpaid amounts of this judgment  
11 described above in Paragraph B shall accrue compound interest at the current tort judgment  
12 rate of 5.25% per annum, compounded annually, beginning the date of this Settlement  
13 Agreement indicated below.

14 D. Covenant Not to Execute or Enforce Judgment: In exchange for the above  
15 consideration, Plaintiff does hereby covenant not to enforce or execute upon any Judgment  
16 against Defendant Miguel or his personal assets, and Plaintiff covenants to provide, upon  
17 request, letters, notice or other documents requested by Defendant Miguel's creditors that all  
18 assets and property are not at risk of execution, enforcement or encumbrance. This includes  
19 release of any lien on real property that may be created by law from entry of any Judgment.  
20 Plaintiff agrees not to record this judgment in any land records of Defendant Miguel.

21 Notwithstanding the above paragraph, Plaintiff reserves the right to pursue any and all  
22 assigned claims and remedies available to Defendant Miguel against the insurer or insurers  
23 which insure or might insure Defendant Miguel for Plaintiff's claims arising out of the  
24 collision.

25 E. RCW 48.30.015 20-day Notice:

26 Defendant Miguel also assigns to Plaintiff herein his right to serve a 20-day IFCA  
27

1 notice upon his insurer(s) under RCW 48.30.015. Within five (5) calendar days of a request  
2 by Plaintiff, however, Defendant Miguel shall properly serve a statutory 20-day IFCA notice  
3 under RCW 48.30.015 that includes any language preferred by Plaintiff regarding the assigned  
4 claims.

5 F. Request for Insurer's Claim Files, Records, and Documents:

6 To the extent Plaintiff seeks to compel production of the insurer's claim files, records,  
7 and other documents or files, Defendant Miguel waives any protections of such requested  
8 materials that might exist between him and the insurer or the attorneys the insurer hires on the  
9 grounds of insurer work product, attorney work product, attorney-client privilege, or other  
10 privileges or protections, and by this reference Defendant Miguel hereby instructs the insurer  
11 and the attorneys the insurer hires to release all such requested information and documents to  
12 Plaintiff within 30 days of its receipt of requests for production of such information (or within  
13 14 days if served with a subpoena).

14 G. Admission of allegations in the Plaintiff's Complaint. Defendant Miguel admits to  
15 the truth of the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint for Negligence and hereby  
16 waives any and all available substantive and procedural affirmative defenses that he could  
17 have asserted in the litigation, including but not limited to defenses regarding liability,  
18 causation of damages, or the amount of damages.

19 **VII. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED AND COOPERATION**  
20 **IN THE PRESENTATION OF ASSIGNED CLAIMS**

21 Upon request by Plaintiff, Defendant Miguel shall provide a declaration under oath  
22 stating the details of his contacts with the insurer and employer following the collision, of  
23 what the insurer advised him or failed to advise him regarding his legal liability for the  
24 damages caused by the collision, and of other matters relating to the assigned claims that  
25 Plaintiff may request.

26 During the pendency of the assigned claims, Defendant Miguel shall provide  
27 information about the assigned claims and respond to the defenses to the assigned claims

1 raised by the insurer. Defendant Miguel shall produce requested documents or other evidence  
2 relating to assigned claims, sign and execute such other and further documents as may be  
3 necessary to effectuate the purpose of this document, and keep the attorney for Plaintiff  
4 advised of his current residential and employment addresses and telephone numbers.

5 Defendant Miguel shall attend any depositions requested in the assigned claims,  
6 whether requested by Plaintiff's attorneys or the attorneys for the insurer.

7 Defendant Miguel shall testify truthfully about assigned claims throughout the  
8 proceedings on the assigned claims, and appear at trial to testify about assigned claims if  
9 requested.

10 Defendant Miguel shall make himself available at such times and places as may  
11 reasonably be required in order to permit Plaintiff to pursue the claims herewith assigned.

12 If Plaintiff believes that Defendant Miguel is not complying with the terms of this  
13 Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff shall give reasonable notice and an opportunity to cure to  
14 Defendant Miguel. If Defendant Miguel thereafter does not cure, the Plaintiff may submit the  
15 issue to Steven Toole, or if he is unable or unwilling to serve, then before Paris Kallas or other  
16 arbitrator for binding arbitration. If binding arbitration determines that Defendant Miguel has  
17 not reasonably complied with the obligations of this section, then Defendant Miguel will be  
18 deemed in material breach of this agreement.

#### 19 **VIII. WAIVER OF PRIVILEGES**

20 Defendant Miguel hereby grants to Plaintiff full access to all files and records relating  
21 to the investigation, adjusting, and legal defense of Plaintiff's claim against Defendant  
22 Miguel, as well as with respect to any claim Defendant Miguel has against the insurer.  
23 Defendant Miguel expressly waives and grants to Plaintiff all attorney-client and work product  
24 privileges he may have with respect to the investigation, adjusting, and legal defense of  
25 Plaintiff's claim against him, or with respect to any claim made by Defendant Miguel in his  
26 own right against the insurer.

1 **IX. DISCLOSURE OF AGREEMENT TO THIRD PERSONS**

2 This Settlement Agreement may be fully disclosed to the Court, to the insurer, and, if  
3 deemed appropriate by the Court, to any jury which shall hear and decide the assigned claims.  
4 It is the intent of the parties that the terms of the settlement be clearly set forth and subject to  
5 review.

6 **X. BINDING NATURE OF AGREEMENT**

7 It is the intent of the parties to enter a binding agreement resolving the legal claims  
8 between them arising out of the collision. In the event the parties have a dispute concerning  
9 the implementation of this Settlement Agreement or an issue comes up after the execution of  
10 this agreement which the parties did not anticipate, then this agreement may be supplemented  
11 by agreement of the parties. If the parties are not able to so agree then the dispute or issue  
12 may be submitted to Steve Toole, or if he is unable or unwilling to serve, then before Paris  
13 Kallas or other arbitrator for binding arbitration, with the arbitration to determine the dispute  
14 or issue in a manner consistent with and promoting the operation of this Settlement  
15 Agreement.

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27

28 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH ASSIGNMENT OF  
RIGHTS AND COVENANTS - 13

**LAW OFFICES OF  
TERENCE F. TRAVERSO, P.S.**  
1408 140<sup>th</sup> Place N.E., Suite 140  
Bellevue, Washington 98007  
Phone: (425) 453-0115/Fax: (425) 412-4060

1 SIGNATURES TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
2 WITH ASSIGNMENT OF RIGHTS AND COVENANTS

3 EDWIN MIGUEL CERTIFIES THAT HE HAS READ THIS AGREEMENT WITH  
4 THE ASSISTANCE AND ADVICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL.

5 EDWIN MIGUEL DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE  
6 LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING SETTLEMENT  
7 AGREEMENT WITH ASSIGNMENT OF RIGHTS AND COVENANTS IS TRUE AND  
8 CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS KNOWLEDGE.

9 \_\_\_\_\_  
Edwin G. Miguel, Defendant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 City & state where signed

12 Approved as to form:

13  
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 Sean Malcolm, Attorney for  
16 Defendant Edwin Miguel  
WSBA #36245

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

17 SHELLEY HAWKINS DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER  
18 THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING  
19 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH ASSIGNMENT OF RIGHTS AND COVENANTS IS  
20 TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HER KNOWLEDGE.

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
Shelley Hawkins, Plaintiff

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 City & state where signed

24 Approved as to form:

25  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 Terence F. Traverso, Attorney for Plaintiff  
WSBA #21178

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

28 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH ASSIGNMENT OF  
RIGHTS AND COVENANTS - 14

**LAW OFFICES OF  
TERENCE F. TRAVERSO, P.S.**  
1408 140<sup>th</sup> Place N.E., Suite 140  
Bellevue, Washington 98007  
Phone: (425) 453-0115/Fax: (425) 412-4060

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH

SHELLEY S. HAWKINS,

Plaintiff

v.

A&E FACTORY SERVICE,  
L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability  
company; SEARS HOLDINGS  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
a foreign profit corporation;  
FATEMAH S. ALSUWAIDAN and  
JOHN DOE ALSUWAIDAN,  
husband and wife and their marital  
community; EDWIN G. MIGUEL  
and JANE DOE MIGUEL, husband  
and wife and their marital  
community; and JENNI M.  
WAKIDA and JOHN DOE  
WAKIDA, husband and wife and  
their marital community,

Defendants

No 18-2-08480-31

CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT  
AGAINST DEFENDANT EDWIN  
G. MIGUEL

(Clerk's Action Required)

**JUDGMENT SUMMARY**

1. Judgment Creditor: Shelley S. Hawkins
2. Judgment Debtor: Edwin G. Miguel
3. Principal Judgment Amount: \$1,500,000.00
4. Other Interest to Date of Judgment: \$ Ø
5. Attorney Fees: \$ Ø

CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT  
EDWIN G. MIGUEL - 1

LAW OFFICES OF  
TERENCE F. TRAVERSO, P.S.  
1408 140<sup>th</sup> Place N.E., Suite 140  
Bellevue, Washington 98007  
Phone: (425) 453-0115/Fax: (425) 412-4060



1 6. Costs: \$ Ø

2 7. Other Recovery Amounts: \$ Ø

3 8. The Principal Judgment Amount shall accrue compound interest at the rate of  
4 5.25% per annum, compounded annually.

5 9. Attorney Fees, Costs and Other Recovery Amounts shall accrue compound interest  
6 at the rate of 5.25% per annum, compounded annually.

7 10. Attorney for Judgment Creditor: Terence F. Traverso (address below)

8 11. Attorney for Judgment Debtor: N/A

9  
10 THIS MATTER came on before the above-entitled court through the Settlement  
11 Agreement with Assignment of Rights and Covenants between Plaintiff and Defendant Edwin  
12 Miguel and the authorization of Defendant Edwin Miguel.

13 **Authorization for Entry of Judgment**

14 The Plaintiff and Defendant Edwin Miguel have agreed upon a basis for resolution of  
15 Plaintiff's claims against him in this action. Defendant Edwin Miguel stipulates to entry of  
16 judgment against him and in favor of the Plaintiff in the amount of \$1,500,000.00, and  
17 authorizes the Court to enter judgment against him in that amount in favor of the Plaintiff,  
18 with interest to be compounded annually at the current tort judgment rate. I, Edwin Miguel,  
19 authorize entry of judgment against me in these amounts.

20 I Declare under Penalty of Perjury Under the Laws of the State of Washington That the  
21 Foregoing Is True and Correct.

22  
23 Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 at \_\_\_\_\_, Washington.

24  
25  
26 Defendant Edwin G. Miguel

27  
28 CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT  
EDWIN G. MIGUEL - 2

LAW OFFICES OF  
TERENCE F. TRAVERSO, P.S.  
1408 140<sup>th</sup> Place N.E., Suite 140  
Bellevue, Washington 98007  
Phone: (425) 453-0115/Fax: (425) 412-4060

1 Order for Entry

2 The above Confession of Judgment having been presented to the Court for entry in  
3 accordance with RCW 4.60.070, the Court having found said Confession of Judgment to be  
4 sufficient, now, therefore, it is hereby

5 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff be and hereby is granted  
6 judgment against Defendant Edwin Miguel in the principal amount of \$1,500,000.00 and  
7 without costs or other amounts. Post-judgment interest shall be compound and accrue  
8 annually at the current tort judgment rate of 5.25%.

9  
10 DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

11  
12 JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER

13  
14  
15 Presented by:

16 Law Offices of Terence F. Traverso, P.S., by

17  
18  
19 s/Terence Traverso  
20 Terence F. Traverso  
21 Attorney for Plaintiff  
WSBA #21178

22 Copy received; approved as to form and content;  
23 notice of presentation waived by:

24  
25 Edwin G. Miguel, Defendant

26  
27  
28 CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT  
EDWIN G. MIGUEL - 3

LAW OFFICES OF  
TERENCE F. TRAVERSO, P.S.  
1408 140<sup>th</sup> Place N.E., Suite 140  
Bellevue, Washington 98007  
Phone: (425) 453-0115/Fax: (425) 412-4060